



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,357	09/25/2003	Aziz Hassan	BSN5DIV	6637

7590 05/31/2007

Thomas L. Adams
P.O. Box 340
120 Eagle Rock Avenue
East Hanover, NJ 07936

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

10/669,357

Applicant(s)

HASSAN ET AL.

Examiner

/Erma Cameron/

Art Unit

1762

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 05 February 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

Erma Cameron
ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

/Erma Cameron/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1762

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): #4. Each LIMITATION of each independent claim must be mapped to the specification by page and line number. The summary of claim 30 at page 6, line 30 to page 7, line 33 and the summary of claim 45 at page 8, line 10 to line 29 does not map out each LIMITATION in this fashion.

#5. The grounds of rejection at page 10, lines 2 to 5, is incorrect. ALL CLAIMS ARE NOT BEING REJECTED BY ALL REFERENCES

#6. In the Arguments section (page 11 and beyond), the applicant needs to have a heading for each of the three grounds of rejection. Separate arguments for various claims can be made in sub-headings. As the applicant has done it in the 2/5/2007 Appeal Brief, the Sleeter rejection is divided into A, B and C sections in pages 11 to 17, instead of having one main heading (to use an example). It is no longer necessary to group the claims as the applicant has done on page 10.

See MPEP 1205.2.


ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER